www.thelancet.com Vol 56 February, 2023

COVID-19 pneumonia and the subsequent risk of getting active pulmonary tuberculosis: a population-based dynamic cohort study using national insurance claims databases

Ponlagrit Kumwichar and Virasakdi Chongsuvivatwong*

Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Kanjanavanich Rd, Kho Hong, Hat Yai District, Songkhla, 90110, Thailand

Summary

Background A three-fold increase in the incidence of detecting pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) in patients hospitalised with COVID-19 pneumonia compared with that in the general population was recently reported; however, this finding may be due to admission bias in the diagnostic investigation. The current cohort study aimed to estimate the risk of having detectable active PTB after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Methods Insurance claims data in lower Southern Thailand from the 12th regional National Health Security Office, Thailand, were used. Inpatient and outpatient electronic medical records were linked using encrypted identification numbers. Records of individuals aged ≥18 years from 1 April to 30 September 2021 were retrieved to form a dynamic cohort. Exposure status was based on SARS-CoV-2 investigation and pneumonia status: population control (general population who had never been tested), negative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) control, asymptomatic COVID-19, symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia, and COVID-19 pneumonia groups. They were tracked in the databases for subsequent bacteriologically confirmed PTB until 31 March 2022.

Findings Overall, 4,241,201 individuals were recruited in the dynamic cohort and contributed 3,108,224, 227,918, 34,251, 10,325, and 14,160 person-years in the above exposure groups, respectively. Time-varying Cox's regression was conducted using population control as reference. Hazard ratios (95% CIs) of the negative control, asymptomatic, symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia, and pneumonia groups were 1.58 (1.08, 2.32), 1.00 (0.25, 4.01), 2.98 (0.74, 11.98), 9.87 (5.64, 17.30) in the first 30 days and 0.97 (0.81, 1.15), 1.41 (0.92, 2.17), 3.85 (2.42, 6.13), and 7.15 (5.54, 9.22) thereafter, respectively.

Interpretation Having had COVID-19 pneumonia, as opposed to the general population status, was strongly associated with a higher hazard of detectable active PTB. In tuberculosis endemic areas, patients with COVID-19 pneumonia should be closely followed up to reduce PTB-related burden.

Funding The Fogarty International Center and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health supported the article processing charges under Award Number D43TW009522.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: ponlagrit.k@psu.ac.th (P. Kumwichar), cvirasak@medicine.psu.ac.th (V. Chongsuvivatwong).

Keywords: COVID-19; Tuberculosis; Risk

Introduction

*Corresponding author.

Tuberculosis (TB), a critical global infectious disease (GID), has been superimposed by the COVID-19 pandemic since 2020.¹ In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), information about the risk of getting active pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) would guide health programmes on active surveillance of TB among post-COVID-19 patients.² However, no study has examined the incidence of TB at the population level.³ Partly, this has been limited by the quality of health service databases in LMICs where TB is endemic.⁴

Thailand, a middle-income country, has achieved universal health coverage since 2002.⁵ National claims databases run by the National Health Security Office (NHSO) have been developed to perform transactions and audits of payment since the programmes' inception. Under the Thai Universal Health Coverage (UHC), Social Security Scheme, and Civil Servant Medical Benefit



Published Online 20 January 2023 https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.eclinm.2023. 101825

1

Check fo

oa

Research in context

Evidence before this study

Tuberculosis (TB) infection (TBI) can progress to active TB disease after an immunosuppressive event. Recently, multiple authors have reported that patients with COVID-19 have decreased levels of functional T-cells during the illness period. In practice, this depletion of immunity might lead to an increased incidence of active TB in populations with a high TB prevalence. We searched for studies exploring the association between COVID-19 and tuberculosis in PubMed using the terms "Tuberculosis"[Mesh] AND ("COVID-19"[Mesh]), without any filter or limitation, on 30 June 2022. No cohort study has addressed the risk of having active TB in patients with COVID-19 using an adequate sample size and a sufficient follow-up period.

Added value of this study

In this cohort study, we analysed time-to-event outcomes from SARS-CoV-2 infection to detectable active pulmonary TB (PTB) and compared it with the concurrent untested population in national insurance claims databases. The exposure groups were those with negative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), asymptomatic COVID-19, symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia, and COVID-19 pneumonia, while the reference group was the general population who had never been tested. Because patients with COVID-19 who are hospitalised are more likely to be diagnosed with PTB during hospitalisation, focusing on co-infections without properly accounting for time may result in a spurious association. To overcome this bias, we performed a time-varying analysis by separating the relationship into two phases: 0–30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis and 31–300 days of that. The hazard ratio (95% CI) of COVID-19 pneumonia was, as expected, very high (9.87 [5.64, 17.30]) in the first phase. The hazard ratio remained high in the second phase (7.15 [5.54, 9.22]).

Implications of all the available evidence

The association between COVID-19 pneumonia and the hazard of detectable active PTB indicates a need for intensive TB surveillance for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in TB-endemic areas. The frequency of follow-up for PTB and the requirement of providing tuberculosis preventive treatment among individuals with prior COVID-19 pneumonia need to be clarified.

Scheme,⁶ all investigation and treatment costs of patients with communicable diseases, including TB and COVID-19, are covered.^{7,8} The data are delivered electronically from both private and public hospitals and for both in- and outpatients. Thus, the national claims databases of the NSHO are valuable for examining the association between these two GIDs.

The spectrum of PTB starts from tuberculosis infection (TBI), which is not contagious (dormant bacilli),⁹ to subclinical PTB with undetectable or detectable bacilli, and ends in active PTB with signs and symptoms, in which the bacilli are detected.¹⁰ In Thailand, subclinical PTB is often coincidentally detected during investigations for other purposes, and clinically active PTB patients visit healthcare centres because of signs and symptoms.¹¹

COVID-19 pneumonia usually causes excessive cellular immune responses, resulting in functional exhaustion and T-cell depletion,12-15 which can increase the risks of progression from TBI to active PTB.¹²⁻¹⁶ In contrast, individuals with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic COVID-19 tend to have an adequate adaptive immune response, and they resume balanced cellular immune activity.17-20 A hospital-based study in South Africa reported 1.5% COVID-19/PTB co-infection among patients with COVID-19 pneumonia²¹ representing a 3-fold increase in this incidence of pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) compared with that in the general population.²² However, it gave no clear information on the sequence of PTB and SARS-CoV-2 infection. Without a cohort study, the findings may be attributed to a diagnostic bias (Berkson's bias).23

Patients with subclinical PTB or active PTB have a higher chance of being detected if they have a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 infection and are hospitalised. To avoid Berkson's bias, there is a need to conduct a cohort study to obtain an explicit sequence of these two infectious diseases with a reasonable period in between.

The objective of this study was to compare the incidence of detectable active PTB in three groups of COVID-19 patients, namely COVID-19 pneumonia (our main group of interest), symptomatic COVID-19, against that in the general population who have never undergone SARS-CoV-2 testing (population control). This study aimed to partially filled the knowledge gap regarding the progression from TBI to active PTB after SARS-CoV-2 infection. The study findings would have implications for TB surveillance among LMICs where TB is still endemic.

Methods

Study design

This is a retrospective cohort study based on the aforementioned claims databases where all groups of patients with COVID-19 were registered. The outcome was whether and how soon these patients would be detected as having active PTB after diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Setting

We defined the COVID-19 pandemic period between 1 April and 30 September 2021 (shaded area in Fig. 1) as



Fig. 1: Incidence of COVID-19 and pulmonary tuberculosis in the study setting generated by data from the 12th regional National Health Security Office.

the recruitment period. Individuals with respiratory symptoms or under contact tracing²⁴ must undergo a reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test to confirm the SARS-CoV-2 infection.²⁵ All individuals undergoing RT-PCR were assessed for high risk of severe COVID-19 (listed in Appendix Table S1).²⁶ However, after 30 September 2021, Antigen Test Kits (ATKs) were introduced in the COVID-19 control programme to cover the overwhelming number the tests required.²⁷ Thereafter, we ended the recruitment.

Fig. 1 shows the COVID-19 situation in the study area, which was dominated sequentially by the Alpha, Delta, and Omicron variants.²⁸ During our recruitment period (shaded area in Fig. 1), the incidence of COVID-19 was rising due to the Alpha variant and the emergence of the Delta variant. The daily average COVID-19 incidence was 522 cases. Until 1 December 2021, there was no reinfection of SARS-CoV-2. For PTB, the notified number of bacteriologically confirmed PTB was approximately half that of the pre-COVID-19 period, with a relatively uniform daily average incidence of 10 cases. After the surge in the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in April 2022, all TB services were disrupted. We, therefore, terminated the study on 31 March 2022. The outcome was whether the person recruited had detectable active PTB until the study was terminated.

Regarding COVID-19 vaccinations, the coverage of at least two doses of started from zero at the beginning of the recruitment period (1 April 2021) and reached 22% of the population at the end of that period (30 September 2021), as shown in Figure S1 in the Appendix. Priority groups for vaccination were health care personnel and individuals with any vulnerable underlying conditions (see Appendix Table S1).²⁹

Data source

According to the National Civil Registration,³⁰ there were 4,991,211 citizens in the study area on 1 April 2021. We used the claims databases of the 12th regional NHSO, which records all the health service data of those people. All health service units received COVID-19 and TB care reimbursements from the NHSO. This enabled NHSO to obtain the health service data of all individuals in Thailand. The coverage of these two diseases in the database was audited and allowed no missing records.

During the recruitment period, all RT-PCR-positive patients were hospitalised at a regular or field hospital for at least 14 days to minimise transmission. Therefore, the severity of the cases was fully assessed from the hospital records, which were compiled into the NHSO databases. All the hospitalised patients underwent chest radiography, and oxygen saturation at room air was monitored for early detection of COVID-19 pneumonia.²⁶ For PTB, all such cases were confirmed by bacteriologic evidence for TB either by Ziehl-Neelsen staining of a sputum sample or a cartridge-based nucleic acid amplification test (Xpert MTB/Rif, Cepheid, Sunnyvale, California, USA) and registered in the NHSO databases using ICD-10 code as A15.0–A15.9.

Participants and data management for exposure status

This was a dynamic cohort in which individuals aged at least 18 years on 1 April 2021 were recruited. Inpatient (IP) and outpatient (OP) electronic medical records of all individuals were linked using encrypted identification numbers. They were then de-identified for personal data protection. We identified individuals who had a history of TB infection from their prescription record of isoniazid, rifampicin, or rifapentine. They and subjects with a positive RT-PCR result for SARS-CoV-2 infection before 1 April 2021 were excluded.

The individuals' exposure status could change based on the RT-PCR test over time. Hence, the population could contribute their time at risk in the reference group (population control group for people who had never been tested) from 1 April 2021. Further, the individuals could participate in the negative control group from the first day of the investigation with a negative result of RT-PCR until they tested positive. They could be either asymptomatic COVID-19, symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia, COVID-19 pneumonia, or severe COVID-19 pneumonia, depending on their clinical course in the hospital.

Using e-claim codes, ICD-9-CM, ICD-10, OP, and IP data were mined to classify the COVID-19 status as person-time units for each exposure group. Initially, the individuals' person-time units were categorised into the reference, negative control (RT-PCR claimed without a positive test result), and positive RT-PCR groups. The individuals whose person-times contributed to the positive group were sub-classified based on the codes listed in Table S2. Noteworthily, COVID-19 pneumonia with superimposed bacterial pneumonia (ICD-10 code J13–J17) was also categorised into the COVID-19 pneumonia groups.

Outcome

The outcome was a diagnosis of bacteriologically confirmed PTB (ICD-10 code A15.0–A15.9) in both the IP and OP databases after the positive RT-PCR result for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although all Thai adult citizens were eligible to undergo free chest radiographs annually, they were not performed on all patients during the pandemic period. Thus, active TB assessment in our study needed to rely on the diagnosis of TB among OP and IP claims databases.

Statistical analysis

A time-to-event analysis was employed in this dynamic cohort. Individuals had one or more inception points, as aforementioned. All the subjects were terminated from the analysis on their date of death or date of PTB diagnosis (the first record of A15). Other censorships included the change in the COVID-19 status (exposure group), SARS-CoV-2 reinfection confirmed by RT-PCR or ATK, and status after 300 days of follow-up. The anticipated follow-up time ranged approximately from 180 for the last recruited ones to 300 days for the earliest recruited ones. Data were visualised using the Nelson–Aalen method³¹ for the cumulative incidence

of PTB to explore Berkson's bias in the early follow-up period.

As the possible progression of PTB by SARS-CoV-2 needs some time, we used a time-varying approach³² to check whether the hazard ratio of COVID-19 is higher in the early follow-up period or in a later period. The cut-point for time stratification was arbitrarily set at the 95th percentile of the length of hospital stay among patients with severe pneumonia. This later turned out to be 30 days. Beyond this cut-point, the likelihood of diagnosis bias (due to severe cases being over-investigated) would be substantially reduced.

In the model, to avoid over-complexity, we had the severity of SARS-CoV-2 time-varying, and all other potential non-time-varying confounders: age, sex, human immunodeficiency virus infection (HIV), diabetes mellitus (DM), any cancers, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)^{11,33–41} (these four underlying diseases are available in the database).

The equation for our time-varying Cox's regression is as follows:

$\lambda(t|\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_0 \exp(\beta_1 \mathbf{x}_n + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_t + \beta_3 \mathbf{x}_t \times (t > C))$

Where \mathbf{x} are all explanatory variables comprising: \mathbf{x}_t (time-varying covariables), and \mathbf{x}_n (non-time-varying covariables). λ_0 is a hazard function of the referent group (when all covariables are coded as 0). β_1 are coefficients of \mathbf{x}_n . *C* is the time cut-point (30 days). (t > C) is coded as 1 if the time was after 30 days and 0 otherwise. β_2 are sole coefficients of \mathbf{x}_t when $t \le C$. β_3 are added to β_2 to obtain a new set of coefficients for \mathbf{x}_t when t > C.

In practice, the software will directly compute the values of β_2 and $(\beta_2 + \beta_3)$ and exponentiate them to get hazard ratios for x_t for each period. Thus, we had coefficients (or hazard ratios) of the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection for the first 30 days different from those in the following period. On the other hand, all the other confounders would have constant coefficients over time.

Since the association observed in the analysis might be explained by unmeasured confounders, we further computed "E-value" as the minimum strength of association between both COVID-19 pneumonia and detectable active PTB for an unmeasured confounder that can explain away the association between COVID-19 pneumonia and hazard of detectable active PTB.⁴² We used the formula for the hazard ratio with a rare outcome (prevalence <15%),⁴³ which is considered to be the risk of getting PTB among the population. If the E-value obtained was implausibly high, it would be unlikely that an unmeasured confounder could explain away the association found in our analysis.

All the analyses were performed using the epiDisplay (version 3.5.0.2),⁴⁴ survminer (version 0.4.9),⁴⁵ tidyverse (version 1.3.1),⁴⁶ and E-value (version 4.1.3)⁴⁷ packages on

R language and environment version 4.1.1 (R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethics statement

Patient data was encrypted and de-identified for personalised anonymisation according to the Thai Personal Data Protection Act 2019, Thailand (PDPA). Data were received from the 12th Regional NHSO under a project approval granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee, Prince of Songkla University (REC 65-231-18-4). Informed consent was not required because the data obtained could not identify any individual.

Role of the funding sources

The Fogarty International Center and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health supported the article processing charges under Award Number D43TW009522. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Results

Population demographics

The data of 4,299,439 individuals aged \geq 18 years were retrieved. Fig. 2 illustrates that we excluded individuals with a history of TB infection or SARS-CoV-2 infection before 1 April 2021, resulting in 4,241,201 individuals in the population recruited into the dynamic cohort. The population control group contributed 3,108,224 personyears at risk. Individuals in the negative control group contributed 227,918 person-years at risk. Among patients with positive RT-PCR tests for the SARS-CoV-2 virus, person-years contributed by patients with asymptomatic COVID-19, symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia, and COVID-19 pneumonia were 34,251, 10,325, and 14,160, respectively. Severe pneumonia contributed 244 person-years among the patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Among those individuals with severe pneumonia, 50.2% survived, and only six patients had subsequent PTB. This number was too small to report as a separate result; therefore, we considered and computed according to only one pneumonia group at the end.

Table 1 shows the distribution of the number of subjects in each COVID-19 status by other demographic and comorbidity variables. The majority were female.



Fig. 2: Study design and participants recruited.

	Population control (n = 3,818,612)	Negative control (n = 384,423)	Asymptomatic pneumonia (n = 54,286)	Symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia (n = 16,935)	COVID-19 pneumonia (n = 23,799)	Severe COVID-19 pneumonia (n = 818)
Demographics, n (%)						
Sex						
Male	1,766,347 (46.3)	172,101 (44.8)	15,734 (29.0)	4507 (26.6)	7526 (31.6)	335 (41.0)
Female	2,052,265 (53.7)	212,322 (55.2)	38,552 (71.0)	12,428 (73.4)	16,273 (68.4)	483 (59.0)
Age group						
18–40 years	1,745,880 (45.7)	201,771 (52.5)	41,316 (76.1)	11,833 (69.9)	10,773 (45.3)	169 (20.7)
41–60 years	1,285,773 (33.7)	128,483 (33.4)	10,247 (18.9)	3435 (20.3)	7380 (31.0)	283 (34.6)
>60 years	786,959 (20.6)	54,169 (14.1)	2723 (5)	1667 (9.8)	5646 (23.7)	366 (44.7)
Comorbidities						
HIV ^a	21,733 (0.6)	2261 (0.6)	206 (0.4)	58 (0.3)	115 (0.5)	3 (0.4)
Diabetes mellitus	245,024 (6.4)	25,981 (6.8)	1622 (3)	893 (5.3)	3394 (14.3)	247 (30.2)
Any cancer	70,780 (1.9)	7370 (1.9)	234 (0.4)	107 (0.6)	307 (1.3)	13 (1.6)
COPD ^b	45,615 (1.2)	5007 (1.3)	204 (0.4)	236 (1.4)	545 (2.3)	31 (3.8)
^a HIV: human immunodeficien Table 1: Number of individ	cy virus infection. ^b COPD: chro	onic obstructive pulmonary	disease, COVID-19: Coronavi	rus disease 2019.		

Older adults (>60 years old) accounted for one-fifth of the population but with a higher proportion of those in the COVID-19 pneumonia group. The same pattern of distribution was seen for both DM and COPD. As expected, new PTB was primarily detected in the control population. Overall, the median time interval between SARS-CoV-2 infection and detectable active PTB was 175 days (IQR between 97 and 237 days).

Hazard of detectable active pulmonary tuberculosis Fig. 3 illustrates the cumulative PTB incidence in personyears among individuals with different COVID-19 statuses. The cumulative incidence plot shows different shapes for subgroup severity. The reference, negative control, and asymptomatic groups had a relatively constant incidence of PTB over time, as their curve showed little variability over the study period. Obviously, the pneumonia group has a steeper slope at the beginning than in the follow-up period.

We used time-varying Cox's regression to handle the change in hazard ratios. As most of the hospitalised patients with COVID-19 were discharged after the 30th day of hospital stay (the 95th percentile of the length of hospital stay of patients with severe pneumonia), we



Fig. 3: Pulmonary tuberculosis incidence over time stratified by five groups of exposure.

finally stratified the person-time units into 30 days or less, and the remaining follow-up period. Diagnostics for the Cox model are shown in sFigure 2–5. The cumulative incidence curves of the COVID-19 pneumonia groups and the population control group are somewhat parallel, indicating a relatively constant hazard ratio (sFigure 3). As expected, p-values in some of the tests on an assumption of proportional hazard were significant in the late phase of the follow-up period due to the large sample size.

COVID-19 pneumonia was extraordinarily highly associated with the hazard of detectable active PTB with a hazard ratio (95% CI) of 9.87 (5.64, 17.30; Table 2) in the first 30 days. The hazard ratio (HR) was reduced to

7.15 (5.54, 9.22; Table 2) after the initial 30-day period. Similarly, there was a significant association between symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia and the hazard of detectable active PTB in the second period, but to a lower extent. The negative control group had a slightly increased hazard, but the HR was reduced thereafter, subsequently reaching the same hazard as that of the population control group. The patients with asymptomatic COVID-19 had no additional hazard of getting PTB.

Sensitivity analysis

Finally, we conducted sensitivity analysis to check whether the HR of 7.15 could possibly be explained

	Subsequent tuberculosis cases per person-years	Tuberculosis incidence per 100,000 person-years (95% Cl)	aHR (95% CI)	p-value				
Time-varying effect (COVID-19 status)								
0–30 days of follow up								
Not under investigation (population control)	208/313,813	66 (57, 75)	1 (ref)					
No infection (negative control)	30/29,026	103 (72, 147)	1.58 (1.08, 2.32)	0.019				
Asymptomatic COVID-19	2/4458	44 (11, 175)	1.00 (0.25, 4.01)	0.995				
Symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia	2/1389	144 (36, 575)	2.98 (0.74, 11.98)	0.125				
COVID-19 pneumonia	13/1973	658 (382, 1131)	9.87 (5.64, 17.30)	<0.0001				
31–300 days of follow up								
Not under investigation (population control)	2215/2,794,411	79 (75, 82)	1 (ref)					
No infection (negative control)	140/198,892	70 (59, 82)	0.97 (0.81, 1.15)	0.696				
Asymptomatic COVID-19	21/29,793	70 (45, 107)	1.41 (0.92, 2.17)	0.118				
Symptomatic COVID-19 without pneumonia	18/8936	201 (126, 318)	3.85 (2.42, 6.13)	<0.0001				
Pneumonia COVID-19	62/12,187	508 (396, 651)	7.15 (5.54, 9.22)	<0.0001				
Non-time-varying effects								
Sex								
Female	749/1,836,777	40 (37, 42)	1 (ref)					
Male	1962/1,558,100	125 (119, 130)	3.16 (2.90, 3.43)	<0.0001				
Age (years)								
18-40	865/1,580,209	54 (50, 57)	1 (ref)					
41-60	1075/1,136,834	94 (88, 99)	1.46 (1.34, 1.61)	<0.0001				
>60	771/677,835	113 (105, 121)	1.53 (1.37, 1.70)	<0.0001				
Underlying diseases								
HIV								
No	2572/3,375,716	76 (73, 78)	1 (ref)					
Yes	139/19,161	725 (614, 855)	8.44 (7.11, 10.03)	<0.0001				
Diabetes mellitus								
No	2261/3,178,673	71 (68, 73)	1 (ref)					
Yes	450/216,204	208 (189, 228)	2.50 (2.24, 2.78)	<0.0001				
Any cancer								
No	2569/3,354,787	76 (73, 78)	1 (ref)					
Yes	142/40,090	354 (300, 417)	2.05 (1.71, 2.46)	<0.0001				
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease								
No	2586/3,332,890	77 (74, 80)	1 (ref)					
Yes	125/61,987	201 (168, 239)	2.54 (2.13, 3.03)	<0.0001				
aHR: adjusted hazard ratio, CI: confidence interval, COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.								
Table 2: Pulmonary tuberculosis incidence in subgroups and adjusted hazard ratios of the severity of COVID-19 and the potential confounders.								

away by an unmeasured confounder using E-value. The E-value (95% CI) from the software was 13.78 (10.56, NA). The lower limit of the confidence interval was far away from the null (E-value = 1). It sounds implausible to have an unmeasured confounder that would explain away the association between COVID-19 pneumonia and the hazard of detectable active PTB.

Discussion

The cumulative incidence of PTB among patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection was steep at ten-fold of the population control in the early period (within 30 days after infection), and it declined to six-fold thereafter. In the second period, patients with symptomatic COVID-19 (both with pneumonia and without pneumonia) demonstrated an increased hazard of detectable active PTB. Also, the negative control had a slightly increased hazard in the early period. These would be patients who had PTB without SARS-CoV-2 co-infection. However, the degree of increase in the hazard subsided later in the follow-up. Only COVID-19 pneumonia was persistently associated with the hazard of detectable active PTB.

With accurate timing of the events of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the ascertainment of active PTB, our data removed the doubt on the chronological sequence found in the previous study.²¹ The differences in the hazard for detectable active PTB among groups with positive RT-PCR results for the SARS-CoV-2 virus may be explained by two main arguments: biological effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the difference in the chance of being investigated for PTB, called Berkson's bias.²³ The correlation between TB susceptibility and SARS-CoV-2 infection could be potentially ruled out as the underlying diseases were already included in the multivariable model.

Several studies have demonstrated that T cells, especially CD8⁺, play a significant role in the defence against TB.12-16 Two studies in China asserted that there is a significantly decreased number of CD8⁺ cells at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection.48,49 The depletion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ in the early period of the SARS-CoV-2 infection has a dose-response relationship with the severity of COVID-19.48,49 Thus, the severity of COVID-19 could be a surrogate variable for the T-cell count affecting clinical presentation. Additionally, a study in China reported that 73.6% of patients with pneumonia could recover their T-cell numbers within approximately 30 days, whereas the remaining 26.4% had prolonged Tcell depletion.⁵⁰ Decreased cellular immune response due to T-cell depletion could mediate the increased risk of getting active TB. These findings could explain why patients with COVID-19 pneumonia may be at increased risk of subsequent PTB even if their COVID-19 symptoms are cured.

On the other hand, an in vitro study reported that patients with COVID-TB co-infection have a decreased response to SARS-CoV-2 and an intact *Mycobacterium* *tuberculosis* (Mtb) response. Moreover, COVID-19 patients with latent TB infection (LTBI) seem to have the ability to react to both SARS-CoV-2 and Mtb antigens.⁵¹ Thus, the increased hazard of detectable active PTB in COVID-19 pneumonia was less likely to be from reactivation of LTBI due to the COVID-LTBI interaction but more likely to be from the weakened immune system as the aforementioned. However, as we could not identify individuals' spectrum of TB infection before SARS-CoV-2 infection, this hypothesis could not be verified.

Regarding Berkson's bias, patients with COVID-19 pneumonia were diagnosed based on radiography findings. The increased access to radiography in COVID-19 cases could increase the chances of detecting PTB in these patients. In contrast, individuals in the population control and negative control groups were devoid of this chance unless they had a lower respiratory symptom or were scheduled for a routine chest radiography before any operation. This bias was likely to reduce after the patients were discharged. Thus, Berkson's bias could explain only the relatively severe cases in the initial 30-day period of follow-up.

Steroids are well known to impair cell-mediated immunity.⁵² During hospitalisation, the use of steroids in Thailand was limited only to patients with severe pneumonia. Almost half of them passed away before the follow-up time was sufficient to detect active PTB. Hence, steroid use was unlikely to be a reasonable explanation.

Whether the bacteriologically confirmed PTB cases were newly acquired or reactive cannot be established. While SARS-CoV-2 is different from TB, it probably weakens individuals with TB infection and accelerates their progression toward active PTB. Our study in the pre-COVID-19 period found that PTB was mostly misdiagnosed as unspecified pneumonia.¹¹ During the COVID-19 pandemic, some patients with COVID-19 pneumonia might already be active PTB. However, such cases would be a minority as we advocated the health policy to reduce delayed diagnosis.¹¹ In this study, patients with PTB were diagnosed after being discharged from the hospital in the median of 175 days. They were more likely to have active PTB during their post-COVID-19 period.

The study's strength is the completeness of COVID-19 and PTB diagnoses data based on a large population by the law for reimbursement that was followed up for at least 180 days. The major biological risk factors for PTB, i.e., age, sex, HIV infection, DM, cancers, and COPD, were already controlled for. Thus, they could not explain this independent association between COVID-19 and PTB.

For the limitation, we did not control for behaviour and other social variables such as time spent in a crowded area, economic status, and poor respiratory hygiene. While those data were unavailable, our sensitivity analysis revealed a very high E-value of 13.78, which means that the unmeasured confounder must have an explicitly high strength of association with both COVID-19 pneumonia and PTB (risk ratio >13.78) to explain away our findings. To date, no such confounder has been identified in published literatures. Berkson's bias has been reduced but not completely eliminated by time-varying Cox's regression. Although PTB was detected on chest radiographs after SARS-CoV-2 infection, it might have existed long before the pandemic. Temporal sequence of these two infections therefore could not be confirmed. The results must be interpreted with caution.

In conclusion, people who recently survived COVID-19 pneumonia in a TB endemic area should receive special attention regarding the robust association between COVID-19 pneumonia and the hazard of detectable active PTB. Further studies on this population on TB infection and the cost-utility for screening and TB preventive therapy are needed.

Contributors

PK and VC initiated and designed the study. PK and VC directly accessed and verified the underlying data in this article. PK obtained, combined, and transformed data for analysis. PK and VC analysed the data, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. Both authors were involved in the decision to submit and agreed to publish the paper.

Data sharing statement

The data of the dynamic cohort and R codes used in the analysis are available in a GitHub repository. For the GitHub repository for this study see https://github.com/ponlagrit/covid_tb.

Declaration of interests

We have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the data processors in NHSO for their kind provision of the data sets. The data sets from IP and OP could be perfectly linked using encrypted identification numbers. We sincerely thank Dr Alan Geater for the additional statistical review during the revision.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101825.

References

- WHO. Tuberculosis deaths rise for the first time in more than a decade due to the COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.who.int/ news/item/14-10-2021-tuberculosis-deaths-rise-for-the-first-time-inmore-than-a-decade-due-to-the-covid-19-pandemic. Accessed May 29, 2022.
- 2 Khan MS, Rego S, Rajal JB, et al. Mitigating the impact of COVID-19 on tuberculosis and HIV services: a cross-sectional survey of 669 health professionals in 64 low and middle-income countries. *PLoS One.* 2021;16:e0244936.
- 3 Visca D, Ong CWM, Tiberi S, et al. Tuberculosis and COVID-19 interaction: a review of biological, clinical and public health effects. *Pulmonology*. 2021;27:151–165.
- 4 Ndabarora E, Chipps JA, Uys L. Systematic review of health data quality management and best practices at community and district levels in LMIC. *Inf Dev.* 2014;30:103–120.
- 5 Paek SC, Meemon N, Wan TTH. Thailand's universal coverage scheme and its impact on health-seeking behavior. *Springerplus*. 2016;5:1952.
- 6 Aljunid SM, Srithamrongsawat S, Chen W, et al. Health-care data collecting, sharing, and using in Thailand, China Mainland, South

Korea, Taiwan, Japan, and Malaysia. Value Health. 2012;15: S132–S138.

- 7 Imsanguan W, Bupachat S, Wanchaithanawong V, et al. Contact tracing for tuberculosis, Thailand. Bull World Health Organ. 2020;98:212–218.
- 3 Tangcharoensathien V, Sachdev S, Viriyathorn S, et al. Universal access to comprehensive COVID-19 services for everyone in Thailand. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2022;7:e009281.
- 9 World Health Organization. WHO operational handbook on tuberculosis: module 1: prevention: tuberculosis preventive treatment. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. https://apps.who.int/ iris/handle/10665/331525.
- 10 Mind the gap managing tuberculosis across the disease spectrum. eBioMedicine. 2022;78. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/ebiom/ article/PIIS2352-3964(22)00112-8/fulltext. Accessed October 24, 2022.
- 11 Kumwichar P, Chongsuvivatwong V. Data mining for ICD-10 admission diagnoses preceding tuberculosis within 1 year among non-HIV and non-diabetes patients. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2022;7:61.
- 12 Foreman TW, Mehra S, LoBato DN, et al. CD4+ T-cell-independent mechanisms suppress reactivation of latent tuberculosis in a macaque model of HIV coinfection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016;113: E5636–E5644.
- 13 Lin PL, Rutledge T, Green AM, et al. CD4 T cell depletion exacerbates acute Mycobacterium tuberculosis while reactivation of latent infection is dependent on severity of tissue depletion in cynomolgus macaques. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2012;28: 1693–1702.
- 14 Rao M, Ippolito G, Mfinanga S, et al. Latent TB infection (LTBI) Mycobacterium tuberculosis pathogenesis and the dynamics of the granuloma battleground. Int J Infect Dis. 2019;80:S58–S61.
- 5 Loxton AG. Bcells and their regulatory functions during tuberculosis: latency and active disease. Mol Immunol. 2019;111:145–151.
- 16 Joosten SA, Meijgaarden KE van, Nonno F del, et al. Patients with tuberculosis have a dysfunctional circulating B-cell compartment, which normalizes following successful treatment. *PLoS Pathog.* 2016;12:e1005687.
- 17 Chan Y, Fong S, Poh C, et al. Asymptomatic COVID-19: disease tolerance with efficient anti-viral immunity against SARS-CoV-2. EMBO Mol Med. 2021;13:e14045.
- 18 Li M, Zhang Y, Lu J, et al. Asymptomatic COVID-19 individuals tend to establish relatively balanced innate and adaptive immune responses. *Pathogens*. 2021;10:1105.
- 19 Kaaijk P, Pimentel VO, Emmelot ME, et al. Children and adults with mild COVID-19: dynamics of the memory T cell response up to 10 months. Front Immunol. 2022;13:817876.
- 20 Gurevich M, Zilkha-Falb R, Sonis P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 memory B and T cell profiles in mild COVID-19 convalescent patients. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;115:208–214.
- 21 Parker A, Boloko L, Moolla MS, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of COVID-19 admissions in a population with a high prevalence of HIV and tuberculosis: a multicentre cohort study. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22:559.
- 22 World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2021. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240037021. Accessed October 24, 2022.
- 23 Westreich D. Berkson's bias, selection bias, and missing data. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23:159–164.
- 24 Phucharoen C, Sangkaew N, Stosic K. The characteristics of COVID-19 transmission from case to high-risk contact, a statistical analysis from contact tracing data. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;27: 100543.
- 25 Tabprasit S, Saipin K, Siriwatthanakul K, et al. Real time RT-PCR assay to detect SARS-COV-2 in Thailand. J Southeast Asian Med Res. 2021;5:21–25.
- 26 Department of Medical Service, Ministry of Public Health. Clinical practice guidelines for COVID-19, Thailand; 2021. published online May 5 https://covid19.dms.go.th/Content/Select_Landding_page? contentId=129. Accessed July 16, 2022.
- 27 Department of Disease Control, Ministry of Public Health. COVID-19 patient under investigation guideline, Thailand. https://ddc. moph.go.th/viralpneumonia/g_srrt.php; 2021. Accessed July 19, 2022.
- 28 Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. SARS-CoV-2 variants in Thailand. https://www3.dmsc. moph.go.th/. Accessed October 30, 2022.
- 29 Department of Disease Control, Ministry of Public Health. Covid-19 vaccination heatmap infographic. https://dashboardvaccine.moph.go.th/heatmap.html. Accessed July 19, 2022.

- 30 The Bureau Of Registration Administration. Population in Thailand. https://stat.bora.dopa.go.th/stat/statnew/statMenu/newStat/ home.php. Accessed October 24, 2022.
- **31** Aalen O. Nonparametric inference for a family of counting processes. *Ann Stat.* 1978;6:701–726.
- 32 Bellera CA, MacGrogan G, Debled M, de Lara CT, Brouste V, Mathoulin-Pélissier S. Variables with time-varying effects and the Cox model: some statistical concepts illustrated with a prognostic factor study in breast cancer. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2010;10:20.
- **33** Foe-Essomba JR, Kenmoe S, Tchatchouang S, et al. Diabetes mellitus and tuberculosis, a systematic review and meta-analysis with sensitivity analysis for studies comparable for confounders. *PLoS One.* 2021;16:e0261246.
- **34** Bertagnolio S, Thwin SS, Silva R, et al. Clinical features of, and risk factors for, severe or fatal COVID-19 among people living with HIV admitted to hospital: analysis of data from the WHO Global Clinical Platform of COVID-19. *Lancet HIV*. 2022;9:e486–e495.
- 35 Al-kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Alblihed M, Guerreiro SG, Cruz-Martins N, Batiha GE-S. COVID-19 in relation to hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:644095.
- 36 Baek MS, Lee M-T, Kim W-Y, Choi JC, Jung S-Y. COVID-19-related outcomes in immunocompromised patients: a nationwide study in Korea. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0257641.
- 37 Dhana A, Hamada Y, Kengne AP, et al. Tuberculosis screening among HIV-positive inpatients: a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV*. 2022;9:e233–e241.
- 38 Cheon J, Kim C, Park EJ, et al. Active tuberculosis risk associated with malignancies: an 18-year retrospective cohort study in Korea. *J Thorac Dis.* 2020;12:4950–4959.
- 39 Bumbacea D, Arend SM, Eyuboglu F, et al. The risk of tuberculosis in transplant candidates and recipients: a TBNET consensus statement. Eur Respir J. 2012;40:990–1013.
- 40 Caraux-Paz P, Diamantis S, de Wazières B, Gallien S. Tuberculosis in the elderly. J Clin Med. 2021;10:5888.
- 41 Marçôa R, Ribeiro AI, Zão I, Duarte R. Tuberculosis and gender factors influencing the risk of tuberculosis among men and women by age group. *Pulmonol.* 2018;24:199–202.

- 42 VanderWeele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity analysis in observational research: introducing the E-value. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;167: 268–274.
- 43 Haneuse S, VanderWeele TJ, Arterburn D. Using the E-value to assess the potential effect of unmeasured confounding in observational studies. JAMA. 2019;321:602–603.
- 44 Chongsuvivatwong V. epiDisplay: epidemiological data display package. published online May 18 https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=epiDisplay; 2022. Accessed November 29, 2022.
- 45 Kassambara A, Kosinski M, Biecek P, Fabian S. survminer: drawing survival curves using 'ggplot2'. published online March 9 https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=survminer; 2021. Accessed November 29, 2022.
- 46 Wickham H. RStudio tidyverse: easily install and load the 'Tidyverse'. published online July 18 https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=tidyverse; 2022. Accessed November 29, 2022.
- 47 Mathur MB, Smith LH, Ding P, VanderWeele TJ. Evalue: sensitivity analyses for unmeasured confounding and other biases in observational studies and meta-analyses. published online October 28 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=EValue; 2021. Accessed November 29, 2022.
- 48 Diao B, Wang C, Tan Y, et al. Reduction and functional exhaustion of T cells in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:827.
- 49 Jiang Y, Wei X, Guan J, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia: CD8+ T and NK cells are decreased in number but compensatory increased in cytotoxic potential. *Clin Immunol.* 2020;218:108516.
- 50 Huang M, Wang Y, Ye J, Da H, Fang S, Chen L. Dynamic changes of T-lymphocyte subsets and the correlations with 89 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Ann Transl Med. 2020; 8:1145.
- 51 Petrone L, Petruccioli E, Vanini V, et al. Coinfection of tuberculosis and COVID-19 limits the ability to in vitro respond to SARS-CoV-2. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;113(Suppl 1):S82–S87.
- 52 Truhan AP, Ahmed AR. Corticosteroids: a review with emphasis on complications of prolonged systemic therapy. Ann Allergy. 1989; 62:375–391.