# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Inflammation associated with lung function abnormalities in COVID-19 survivors



Roberto Mancilla-Ceballos<sup>1†</sup>, Kathryn M. Milne<sup>2,3†</sup>, Jordan A. Guenette<sup>3,4</sup> and Arturo Cortes-Telles<sup>5\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Activation of inflammatory pathways promotes organ dysfunction in COVID-19. Currently, there are reports describing lung function abnormalities in COVID-19 survivors; however, the biological mechanisms remain unknown. The aim of this study was to analyze the association between serum biomarkers collected during and following hospitalization and pulmonary function in COVID-19 survivors.

**Methods** Patients recovering from severe COVID-19 were prospectively evaluated. Serum biomarkers were analyzed from admission to hospital, peak during hospitalization, and at the time of discharge. Pulmonary function was measured approximately 6 weeks after discharge.

**Results** 100 patients (63% male) were included (age 48 years, SD  $\pm$  14) with 85% having at least one comorbidity. Patients with a restrictive spirometry pattern (n=46) had greater inflammatory biomarkers compared to those with normal spirometry (n=54) including peak Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (NLR) value [9.3 (10.1) vs. 6.5 (6.6), median (IQR), p=0.027] and NLR at hospital discharge [4.6 (2.9) vs. 3.2 (2.9) p=0.005] and baseline C-reactive protein value [164.0 (147.0) vs. 106.5 (139.0) mg/dL, p=0.083). Patients with an abnormal diffusing capacity (n=35) had increased peak NLR [8.9 (5.9) vs. 5.6 (5.7) mg/L, p=0.029]; baseline NLR [10.0 (19.0) vs. 4.0 (3.0) pg/ml, p=0.002] and peak Troponin-T [10.0 (20.0) vs. 5.0 (5.0) pg/ml, p=0.011] compared to patients with normal diffusing capacity (n=42). Multivariable linear regression analysis identified predictors of restrictive spirometry and low diffusing capacity, but only accounted for a low degree of variance in pulmonary function outcome.

**Conclusion** Overexpression of inflammatory biomarkers is associated with subsequent lung function abnormalities in patients recovered from severe COVID-19.

Keywords Pulmonary function, Restrictive abnormalities, COVID-19, Biomarkers, Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio

<sup>†</sup>Roberto Mancilla-Ceballos and Kathryn M. Milne are co-first-authors.

\*Correspondence:

## Introduction

Activation of coagulation and inflammatory pathways promotes multiorgan dysfunction in sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and coronavirus disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus (COVID-19) [1]. Survivors of critical illness frequently experience persistent alteration in muscle and cognitive function as well as quality of life [2, 3]. Persistent symptoms, impaired cognitive function, radiographic abnormalities, reduced quality of life, and alterations in pulmonary function including restrictive patterns and reduced diffusing capacity, have been described in patients recovering from COVID-19 [4–6].



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/lublicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Arturo Cortes-Telles

dr\_morenheim@hotmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Internal Medicine Department, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad de La Peninsula de Yucatan, Yucatan, Mexico

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Centre for Heart Lung Innovation, Providence Research, The University of British Columbia and St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Department of Physical Therapy, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Respiratory Diseases Clinic, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad de La Peninsula de Yucatan, Yucatan, Mexico

Inflammatory markers implicated in the severity and prognosis of COVID-19 include increased Neutrophilto-Lymphocyte ratio (NLR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and decreased Lymphocyte-to-C-reactive protein ratio (Lym-to-CRP) [7–10]. Particularly, the NLR reflects the immunopathological response and inflammatory state in COVID-19. NLR is associated with increased reactive oxygen species promoting tissue damage, thrombosis, and progression to more severe disease [11, 12] as well as development of ARDS [13]. Persistently increased levels of NLR and CRP have been shown to be related to severity of imaging findings on chest computed tomography in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [14, 15]. A recent study by Mendez et al. has described an association between female sex, smoking history, and increased D-dimer with reduced diffusing capacity [16]. This work highlights the importance of using biomarkers to identify patients more likely to have impaired lung function. However, the biological mechanisms underpinning these abnormalities and readily available validated biomarkers to identify patients likely to develop impaired lung function remain largely unknown.

The aim of the present study was to examine the relationship between serum biomarkers of inflammation, organ dysfunction, and coagulation throughout hospital stay and at discharge with subsequent pulmonary function test (PFT) findings in patients recovering from COVID-19. We hypothesized that biomarkers of increased inflammation, organ dysfunction, and altered coagulation would be associated with lung function abnormalities at 6-week follow-up in patients recovering from severe COVID-19.

#### Methods

#### Study design

This was a single-center observational study of patients recovering from severe COVID-19 who performed PFTs 6 weeks after hospital discharge. Severe disease was defined as patients hospitalized with COVID-19 requiring supplemental oxygen ( $O_2 > 5$  L/min) and prone positioning for at least 12 h per day without invasive mechanical ventilation. This project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad de la Peninsula de Yucatan (Protocol number 2020–023) and all patients provided written informed consent.

#### Patients

All discharged non-critically ill patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 on real-time reverse transcriptasepolymerase chain reaction nasal swabs were eligible for study inclusion. Patients were excluded if laboratory biomarkers included in our study were not collected during hospitalization. Patients were identified through screening of hospital records and invited to participate in the study by telephone 4 to 6 weeks after hospital discharge. All participants subsequently attended the Long-Term Follow-up COVID-19 unit at the Respiratory and Thoracic Surgery Department. All patients provided written informed consent to participate in this study, in compliance with the Helsinki declaration.

#### Data collection

Patient data including demographics, laboratory, and pulmonary function test results were collected from medical records. Demographic data included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and medical history. Laboratory test results were extracted from time of admission to hospital, peak value during hospitalization, and hospital discharge. PFTs including spirometry and diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide  $(D_{LCO})$ , were performed 44 days [interquartile range (IQR) 22] following hospital discharge, according to international guidelines [17, 18] using standard equipment (Ultima PF<sup>™</sup> Pulmonary Function System, Medical Graphics, UK or Easy One Pro<sup>®</sup>, ndd Medical Technologies, Switzerland). A restrictive spirometry abnormality was defined as a forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>)/forced vital capacity (FVC)  $\geq$  lower limit of normal (LLN) and FVC < 80% predicted. Abnormal diffusing capacity was defined as D<sub>LCO</sub> < 80% predicted according to Global Lung Function Initiative reference values [19]. Laboratory tests included complete blood count, CRP, serum ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), troponin-T, D-dimer, and fibrinogen. NLR and Lym-to-CRP were calculated. The biomarkers were divided into three categories related to: inflammation, organ injury, and coagulation.

#### Statistical analysis

Independent t-tests, Mann-Whitney U test, and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare anthropometric, pulmonary function, and laboratory biomarkers, where appropriate. The association between biomarkers and FVC or D<sub>LCO</sub> value at follow up was determined using best subset multivariable linear regression. Best subset multivariable linear regression was used as this approach compares all possible models based on available independent variables. Models were used to determine the association between potential inflammatory, organ injury, and coagulation predictor variables and lower FVC (< 80% predicted) and D<sub>LCO</sub> (< 80% predicted). Peak biomarker values were used in the regression model as these values represented the greatest degree of biomarker abnormality. Independent variables included: NLR peak, CRP peak, Lym-to-CRP nadir, ferritin peak, LDH peak, CK peak, CK-MB peak, troponin-T peak, D-dimer peak, and fibrinogen peak. All models were adjusted for the time between COVID-19 symptom onset and PFT measurement, age, sex, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and smoking status. A two-tailed *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (interquartile range, IQR) for parametric and non-parametric variables, respectively. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA V.13 (Statacorp, College Station, Tx.) and RStudio.

#### Results

#### Study population

Between March 26 and September 30, 2020, a total of 234 patients were identified of which 134 were ineligible (Fig. 1). A total 100 patients hospitalized for severe COVID-19 with complete biomarker data were included in the study and performed pulmonary function tests 6 weeks after discharge. Demographic characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. The mean age of participants was  $48 \pm 14$  years and 63% were male. Average BMI was consistent with obesity (BMI  $33.5 \pm 7.2$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 85% of participants had at least one comorbidity. The most common comorbidities among participants were obesity (64%), hypertension (26%), and diabetes mellitus (25%). Patients were treated with corticosteroid (equivalent to prednisone 40 mg/day for 10 days) and low molecular weight heparin (equivalent to enoxaparin 1 mg/kg/day while in hospital).

All participants completed spirometry and 77 participants completed  $D_{LCO}$  measurement (Fig. 1). Average results for lung function measures included: FVC:  $79 \pm 18\%$  predicted, FEV<sub>1</sub>:  $85 \pm 19\%$  predicted, and FEV<sub>1</sub>/ FVC:  $87 \pm 9\%$ .  $D_{LCO}$  was  $88 \pm 26\%$  predicted, although there was significant variability in results between participants. Survivors of COVID-19 had abnormal peak serum biomarkers during hospitalization related to inflammation, organ injury, and coagulation (Table 1).



Fig. 1 Flow chart showing screening, recruitment, and testing of study participants

**Table 1** Participant (n = 100) characteristics, peak serumbiomarkers during hospitalization, and pulmonary functionresults at 6 weeks following discharge

Variable	Result	
Age (Years)	48±14	
Male, n (%)	63 (63%)	
Comorbidities, n (%)	85 (85%)	
Diabetes	25 (25%)	
Hypertension	26 (26%)	
Obesity	64 (64%)	
Current Smoker	11 (11%)	
Pulmonary Function Test		
Spirometry		
FVC, % predicted	79±18	
FEV <sub>1</sub> , % predicted	85 <u>+</u> 19	
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %	87±9	
Diffusing Capacity		
D <sub>LCO</sub> , %predicted	$88 \pm 26^*$	
In-hospital peak value of serum biomarkers		
Inflammatory biomarkers		
Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio	8.3 (8.9)	
C-reactive protein (0–5 mg/L)	163 (167)	
Minimum Lymphocyte-to-C-reactive protein ratio	34.6 (94.7)	
Serum ferritin (18–341 ng/L)	1614 (1124)	
Organ-injury biomarkers		
Lactate dehydrogenase (240–480 U/L)	705 (302)	
Creatine kinase (39–308 U/L)	110 (156)	
Creatine kinase-MB (1–25 U/L)	28 (18)	
Troponin-T (0–14 pg/mL)	6 (8)	
Coagulation biomarkers		
D-dimer (0–500 ng/mL)	810 (1510)	
Fibrinogen (170–254 mg/dL)	789 (267)	

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for parametric variables, median (interquartile range) for nonparametric variables, or number (%). \*n=77 participants for D<sub>LCO</sub> measurement

Reference normal values for the laboratory where measurement of biomarker variables was performed are listed in parentheses when available following variable name

Abbreviations:  $D_{LCO}$ : diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity

#### Differences in serum biomarker profile and spirometry

Differences in serum biomarkers in patients with a restrictive spirometric pattern (FVC < 80% predicted) compared to those with a preserved FVC are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Patients with a reduced FVC had evidence of increased inflammation in related biomarkers including: peak NLR value [9.3 (10.1) 95% CI 9.5–13.4 vs. 6.5 (6.6), 95% CI 7.4–11.4, p=0.027] and NLR at hospital discharge [4.6 (2.9) 95% CI 4.0–5.9 vs 3.2 (2.9), 95% CI 2.9–4.5, p=0.005], as well as baseline CRP value [164.0 (147.0) 95% CI 136.5–195.9 vs. 106.5 (139.0)

95% CI 106.7–161.1 mg/L, p=0.083). The trajectory of NLR in patients with and without a restrictive spirometric pattern from admission to discharge is shown in Fig. 2 A-C. Increased baseline fibrinogen value [772 (282) 95% CI 705–825 vs. 657 (313) 95% CI 600–716 mg/dl, p=0.018] and peak fibrinogen value [841 (252) 95% CI 793–908 vs. 760 (293) 95% CI 696–911 mg/dl, p=0.034] in patients with reduced FVC were the only significant differences in coagulation related biomarkers (Fig. 2 D-F). There were no significant differences in organ-injury biomarkers in participants with or without a restrictive spirometry pattern.

# Differences in serum biomarkers profile and diffusing capacity

Comparison of serum biomarkers in participants with and without decreased diffusing capacity are summarized in Supplementary Table 2. Compared to patients with preserved diffusing capacity ( $D_{LCO}$  >80% predicted), peak NLR value [8.9 (5.9) 95% CI 8.0-13.1 vs. 5.6 (5.7), 95% CI 6.2–9.9 p=0.029] and peak CRP value [197.0 (156.0) 95% CI 161.3-238.2 vs. 145.1 (193.0) 95% CI 118.0-189.8 mg/L, p = 0.068] were higher in participants with impaired diffusion capacity, suggestive of greater inflammation in this group. In biomarkers related to organ injury, the baseline troponin-T value [10.0 (19.0) 95% CI 10.0–40.0 vs. 4.0 (3.0) 95% CI 0.0–10.0 pg/ml, *p*=0.002] and the peak troponin-T value [10.0 (20.0) 95% CI 4.0-49.0 vs. 5.0 (5.0) 95% CI 5.0-8.0 pg/ml, p=0.011], were significantly higher in patients with abnormal pulmonary diffusion. The trajectory of NLR and troponin-T values throughout hospitalization is shown in Fig. 3. There were no significant differences between biomarkers associated with coagulation.

#### **Regression analysis**

As presented in Table 2, from selected biomarkers, the combination of NLR peak, CRP peak, and ferritin peak values formed the best combination of biomarkers to describe FVC (% predicted) outcome (F 8,85=2.48; p=0.018). However, the model only explained up to 11.3% of the variance of FVC. A second regression model was constructed to examine the relationship between biomarkers and reduced D<sub>LCO</sub> (% predicted) (Table 2). The overall model was not significant in predicting abnormalities in D<sub>LCO</sub> (F 7,40=1.725; p=0.131), and explained only 9.8% of the variance in D<sub>LCO</sub> outcome.

#### Discussion

The role of biomarkers of inflammation, organ injury, and abnormal coagulation from laboratory tests commonly performed as a part of the routine clinical care of



**Fig. 2** Inflammatory and coagulation biomarker differences during hospitalization between patients with reduced FVC (< 80% predicted) and preserved FVC ( $\geq$  80% predicted) at 6 weeks of recovery from severe COVID-19. A and D: baseline, B and E: peak during hospitalization, C and F: discharge. Abbreviations: FVC: forced vital capacity; NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio

hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and their relationship to abnormal lung function during follow-up was the focus of our study. Overall, our findings demonstrate that biomarkers of increased inflammation in patients with severe COVID-19 are abnormal in those that subsequently develop restrictive spirometry and reduced diffusion abnormalities.

Currently, the follow-up of COVID-19 survivors is focused on identifying and characterizing sequelae of illness during recovery. Multiple reports have confirmed the persistence of symptoms, compromised quality of life [6, 20, 21], radiographic abnormalities [22, 23], and pulmonary function impairments [24, 25] following hospital discharge frequently observed in COVID-19 survivors [26]. Persistent pulmonary function abnormalities in this group of patients includes reduced diffusing capacity and patterns of restriction and/or obstruction [24]. However, the possible pathophysiological mechanisms that may be linked to these alterations in lung function have not been fully elucidated.

Biomarkers derived from frequently used laboratory tests in hospitalized COVID-19 patients have been a focus for both understanding potential mechanisms underlying subsequent abnormal lung function and identifying patients at risk of adverse pulmonary outcomes. Recent work examining the relationship between peak CRP and D-dimer values in hospitalized COVID-19 patients found that higher D-dimer, in combination with female sex and smoking history, was associated with reduced diffusing capacity at approximately 3-months follow up [16]. Our study expands on these observations by describing the trajectory of multiple biomarkers throughout the course of hospitalization and examining both restrictive spirometry and reduced diffusing capacity. We identified that a restrictive spirometry pattern and reduced D<sub>LCO</sub> during follow up were both associated with increased inflammatory markers during hospitalization. However, abnormal inflammatory biomarkers explained only a small to moderate proportion of the variance in PFT results, suggesting that additional factors contribute



**Fig. 3** Inflammatory and organ-injury biomarker differences during hospitalization between patients with reduced  $D_{LCO}$  (< 80% predicted) and preserved  $D_{LCO}$  (≥ 80% predicted) at 6 weeks of recovery from severe COVID-19. A and D: baseline, B and E: peak during hospitalization, C and F: discharge. Abbreviations:  $D_{LCO}$ : diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide; NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio

to the development of abnormal lung function during recovering from COVID-19.

In study participants recovering from severe COVID-19 illness, 46% of patients had a reduced FVC (<80% predicted) and normal FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, suggestive of a restrictive spirometric pattern. These patients had elevated levels of inflammatory biomarkers during hospitalization including NLR and CRP. Although persistence of a hyperinflammatory state during convalescence from COVID-19 is an area of ongoing research, the findings of the present study demonstrate abnormal markers of inflammation during hospitalization in patients subsequently found to have restrictive spirometry. This suggests that inflammation plays a role in lung injury and subsequent abnormal lung function in COVID-19 survivors [27].

A significant number of patients in our study (45%) had reduced diffusing capacity ( $D_{LCO} < 80\%$  prediction), consistent with other reported cohorts [24, 25]. Similar to our findings in those with restrictive spirometry, increased NLR was significantly associated with impaired gas exchange. Patients with low  $D_{LCO}$  also

had significantly increased troponin-T values. Unlike the results of Mendez et al. we did not observe an association between D-dimer and reduced diffusing capacity [16]. This may be related to the significant variability of D-dimer results between individuals observed in both studies. This underscores that biomarkers both sensitive and specific for predicting impaired lung function will provide the greatest clinical value in prioritizing the follow up of patients with COVID-19.

 $D_{LCO}$  measures the transfer of oxygen from the alveolar space to hemoglobin contained in erythrocytes located in the pulmonary capillaries [28]; any process that affects oxygen transfer will result in measurement abnormalities (either interstitial, circulatory, or hematologic disruptions). The relationship between  $D_{LCO}$  and alterations in cardiac function is known to involve the alveolar-capillary membrane and capillary blood volume involved in gas exchange [29, 30]. In COVID-19, thrombotic microangiopathy and interstitial abnormalities [5, 31] have been identified, which together with myocardial involvement, likely contribute to observed alterations in

**Table 2** Adjusted results of best subset multivariable linear regression for predictors of FVC and  $D_{LCO}$ 

Predictor	Estimate	SE	т	<i>p</i> -value
Predictors of FVC (% predicted)				
Intercept	65.400	11.063	5.911	< 0.001
Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio peak	-0.614	0.291	-2.107	0.038
C-reactive protein peak	-0.020	0.022	-0.941	0.349
Ferritin peak	0.002	0.001	1.602	0.113
Age	-0.030	0.141	-0.216	0.830
Sex	3.846	4.175	0.921	0.360
Days from symptom onset to pulmonary function testing	0.259	0.129	2.011	0.048
Obesity	5.052	4.209	1.200	0.233
Smoker	1.671	5.952	0.281	0.780
Predictors of D <sub>LCO</sub> (% predicted)				
Intercept	84.930	20.330	4.178	< 0.001
D-dimer peak	-0.001	0.001	-1.223	0.229
Troponin-T peak	-89.850	93.790	-0.958	0.344
Age	-0.440	0.297	-1.481	0.146
Sex	9.463	9.625	0.983	0.331
Days from symptom onset to pulmonary function testing	0.272	0.248	1.094	0.281
Obesity	6.517	8.369	0.779	0.441
Smoker	14.170	11.430	1.239	0.222

Model was adjusted for confounders of age, sex, days from symptom onset to PFT measurement, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and smoking status

 $D_{LCO}$ . Impaired gas exchange may therefore result from a dual process culminating in the destruction of the lung parenchyma [32] and thickening of the alveolar walls [33]. Importantly, we did not find evidence of abnormal biomarkers for coagulation dysfunction in patients with reduced  $D_{LCO}$ . These findings suggest that although plausible physiologic abnormalities that could contribute to low  $D_{LCO}$  have been identified in COVID-19, further work is required to uncover the precise mechanisms for development of persistent impaired oxygen transfer.

Limitations of our study include that we were unable to measure specific inflammatory cytokines (IL-6) in our study. Due to resource constraints, it was not possible to measure  $\boldsymbol{D}_{LCO}$  in all patients. We additionally excluded hospitalized patients that did not have measurement of the biomarkers included in our study, which may introduce potential bias to our results. Radiographic data was not included in our study as imaging protocols were not standardized, limiting our ability to make quantitative imaging comparisons. Our included patient population tended to be older males and therefore may not be generalizable to younger females. We were not able to specifically exclude patients with diagnosed pulmonary embolism or deep vein thrombosis from our study and this could impact our analysis of coagulation abnormalities observed in our results. We describe associations between biomarkers and reduced FVC and D<sub>LCO</sub>, assuming the premise that these patients did not have impaired lung function prior to COVID-19 infection as pre-existing lung function was not available to confirm this assertion. Finally, although we present biomarker data collected at hospital admission, these results may be influenced by lead time bias.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that biomarkers of increased inflammation, organ dysfunction, and altered coagulation were related to a restrictive spirometric pattern and impaired diffusing capacity; however, biomarkers included in our study explained a small proportion of the variance in FVC or D<sub>ICO</sub>. Uncovering a potential causal relationship between increased inflammation and impaired lung function may provide a useful therapeutic target in the follow-up of patients with severe COVID-19. Recently, an observational study of 30 COVID-19 survivors with persistent inflammatory interstitial lung disease (predominantly organizing pneumonia) and elevated inflammatory biomarkers treated with a short 3-week course of tapering oral corticosteroid, demonstrated improvement of symptoms, recovery of lung function, and radiological improvement of interstitial abnormalities [5]. Further exploring the relationship between markers of inflammation during hospitalization and other factors that lead to the development of impaired lung function in convalescent patients with severe COVID-19 is urgently needed. Additional

research is needed to examine risk stratification models that include biomarkers and other factors in better predicting adverse pulmonary function outcomes.

#### Abbreviations

SARS-CoV2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
Lym-to-CRP	Lymphocyte-to-C-reactive protein ratio
NLR	Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio
CRP	C-reactive protein
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
CK	Creatine kinase
CK-MB	Creatine kinase-MB
PFT	Pulmonary function test
DICO	Pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide
LLN	Lower limit of normality
FEV1	Forced expiratory volume in 1 s
FVC	Forced vital capacity

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12890-023-02521-5.

Additional file1: Supplementary Table 1. Comparative analysis of abnormalities in participants with and without a restrictive spirometric pattern.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

RMC, KMM, JAG and ACT designed the study.

RMC, ACT collected the data and interpreted the patient data regarding lung function.

All authors made critical revisions to the manuscript and approved the final version.

#### Funding

None.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article and/or its supplementary material files. The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the High Specialty Regional Hospital in Yucatan, Mexico (No. CONBIOETICA- 31-CEI-002–20170731).

The Ethics Committee assigned this study as protocol number 2020–023. All patients signed a written informed consent to participate. All personal information was kept in the computer of the principal investigator password protected and in compliance with the Helsinki declaration. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 16 June 2023 Published online: 01 July 2023

#### References

- 1. Leisman DE, Ronner L, Pinotti R, Taylor MD, Sinha P, Calfee CS, et al. Cytokine elevation in severe and critical COVID-19: a rapid systematic review, meta-analysis, and comparison with other inflammatory syndromes. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(12):1233–44.
- Fan E, Dowdy DW, Colantuoni E, Mendez-Tellez PA, Sevransky JE, Shanholtz C, et al. Physical complications in acute lung injury survivors: a twoyear longitudinal prospective study. Crit Care Med. 2014;42(4):849–59.
- Hopkins RO, Suchyta MR, Kamdar BB, Darowski E, Jackson JC, Needham DM. Instrumental activities of daily living after critical illness: A systematic review. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14(8):1332–43.
- van den Borst B, Peters JB, Brink M, Schoon Y, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Schers H, et al. Comprehensive health assessment three months after recovery from acute COVID-19. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(5):e1089-1098.
- Myall KJ, Mukherjee B, Castanheira AM, Lam JL, Benedetti G, Mak SM, et al. Persistent post-COVID-19 inflammatory interstitial lung disease: An observational study of corticosteroid treatment. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18(5):799–806.
- Cortes-Telles A, Lopez-Romero S, Figueroa-Hurtado E, Pou-Aguilar YN, Wong AW, Milne KM, et al. Pulmonary function and functional capacity in COVID-19 survivors with persistent dyspnoea. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 2021;288:103644.
- 7. Lagunas-Rangel FA. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte-to-C-reactive protein ratio in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2020;92(10):1733–4.
- Imran MM, Ahmad U, Usman U, Ali M, Shaukat A, Gul N. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio-A marker of COVID-19 pneumonia severity. Int J Clin Pract. 2021;75(4):e13698.
- 9. Jimeno S, Ventura PS, Castellano JM, Garcia-Adasme SI, Miranda M, Touza P, et al. Prognostic implications of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in COVID-19. Eur J Clin Invest. 2021;51(1):e13404.
- Chen W, Zheng KI, Liu S, Yan Z, Xu C, Qiao Z. Plasma CRP level is positively associated with the severity of COVID-19. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2020;19(1):18.
- 11. Cai J, Li H, Zhang C, Chen Z, Liu H, Lei F. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio determines clinical efficacy of corticosteroid therapy in patients with COVID-19. Cell Metab. 2021;33(2):258-69 e3.
- Laforge M, Elbim C, Frere C, Hemadi M, Massaad C, Nuss P, et al. Tissue damage from neutrophil-induced oxidative stress in COVID-19. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20(9):515–6.
- Ma A, Cheng J, Yang J, Dong M, Liao X, Kang Y. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a predictive biomarker for moderate-severe ARDS in severe COVID-19 patients. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):288.
- Feng Z, Yu Q, Yao S, Luo L, Zhou W, Mao X, et al. Early prediction of disease progression in COVID-19 pneumonia patients with chest CT and clinical characteristics. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):4968.
- Zhang N, Xu X, Zhou LY, Chen G, Li Y, Yin H, et al. Clinical characteristics and chest CT imaging features of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Eur Radiol. 2020;30(11):6151–60.
- Mendez R, Latorre A, Gonzalez-Jimenez P, Feced L, Bouzas L, Yepez K, et al. Reduced diffusion capacity in COVID-19 survivors. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18(7):1253–5.
- Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200(8):e70–88.
- Graham BL, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Cooper BG, Jensen R, Kendrick A, et al. 2017 ERS/ATS standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. Eur Respir J. 2017;49(1):1600016.
- Stanojevic S, Graham BL, Cooper BG, Thompson BR, Carter KW, Francis RW, et al. Official ERS technical standards: Global lung function initiative reference values for the carbon monoxide transfer factor for Caucasians. Eur Respir J. 2017;50(3):1700010.
- Halpin SJ, McIvor C, Whyatt G, Adams A, Harvey O, McLean L, et al. Postdischarge symptoms and rehabilitation needs in survivors of COVID-19 infection: A cross-sectional evaluation. J Med Virol. 2021;93(2):1013–22.

- Garrigues E, Janvier P, Kherabi Y, Le Bot A, Hamon A, Gouze H, et al. Postdischarge persistent symptoms and health-related quality of life after hospitalization for COVID-19. J Infect. 2020;81(6):e4–6.
- 22. Shaw B, Daskareh M, Gholamrezanezhad A. The lingering manifestations of COVID-19 during and after convalescence: update on long-term pulmonary consequences of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Radiol Med. 2021;126(1):40–6.
- Tabatabaei SMH, Rajebi H, Moghaddas F, Ghasemiadl M, Talari H. Chest CT in COVID-19 pneumonia: what are the findings in mid-term follow-up? Emerg Radiol. 2020;27(6):711–9.
- Torres-Castro R, Vasconcello-Castillo L, Alsina-Restoy X, Solis-Navarro L, Burgos F, Puppo H, et al. Respiratory function in patients post-infection by COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pulmonology. 2020;27(4):328–37.
- van der Sar-van der Brugge S, Talman S, Boonman-de Winter L, de Mol M, Hoefman E, van Etten RW. Pulmonary function and health-related quality of life after COVID-19 pneumonia. Respir Med. 2021;176:106272.
- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. Lancet. 2021;397(10270):220–32.
- 27. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med. 2021;27(4):601–15.
- Krogh M. The diffusion of gases through the lungs of man. J Physiol. 1915;49(4):271–300.
- Nakamura K, Kanzaki H, Okada A, Amaki M, Takahama H, Hasegawa T, et al. Independent prognostic value of pulmonary diffusing capacity in nonsmoking patients with chronic heart failure. Int Heart J. 2019;60(2):366–73.
- Agostoni P, Bussotti M, Cattadori G, Margutti E, Contini M, Muratori M, et al. Gas diffusion and alveolar-capillary unit in chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2006;27(21):2538–43.
- 31. Iba T, Levy JH, Levi M, Connors JM, Thachil J. Coagulopathy of coronavirus disease 2019. Crit Care Med. 2020;48(9):1358–64.
- 32. Martinez-Pitre PJ, Sabbula BR, Cascella M. Restrictive lung disease. Stat-Pearls. Treasure Island (FL)2021.
- 33. McDonald LT. Healing after COVID-19: are survivors at risk for pulmonary fibrosis? Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2021;320(2):L257–65.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

